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The $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ cage has played an important role in the development of endohedral fullerene chemistry. In 1991 Smalley and co-workers observed that $\mathrm{La} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$, unlike $\mathrm{La} @ \mathrm{C}_{60}$ and $\mathrm{La} @ \mathrm{C}_{74}$, had good solubility in organic solvents and high stability. ${ }^{1}$ Subsequently, endohedral fullerenes with $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ cages have become particularly numerous and have been subject to external modification through addition reactions. ${ }^{2}$ Nine isomers (three $C_{2}$ isomers, three $C_{s}$ isomers, two $C_{3 v}$ isomers, and one $C_{2 v}$ isomer) of the $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ cage obey the isolated pentagon rule (IPR), which requires that each of the twelve pentagons in a fullerene be surrounded by hexagons. ${ }^{3}$ It has been established that the major and minor isomers of $\mathrm{La} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$ have IPR-obeying cage structures with $C_{2 v}{ }^{4}$ and $C_{s}{ }^{5}$ symmetry, respectively, and the $C_{2 v}$ structure appears to be established for a number of other endohedrals of the $\mathrm{M} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$ type $\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Ca}\right.$, etc.). ${ }^{6}$ The structures of two of the three known isomers of $\mathrm{Er}_{2} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$ have been crystallographically characterized and shown to have the IPRobeying structures $\mathrm{Er}_{2} @ C_{s}(6)-\mathrm{C}_{82}{ }^{7}$ and $\mathrm{Er}_{2} @ C_{3 v}(8)-\mathrm{C}_{82} .{ }^{8}$
Endohedral fullerenes containing the trimetallic nitride (TN) $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ unit are particularly important because they can be obtained in unusually high yields. ${ }^{9}$ While the structures of several TN endohedral fullerenes have been reported, ${ }^{9-13}$ no structural data for $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$ have been available. Poblet and co-workers have predicted that $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}$ will not obey the IPR because of the lack of an appropriately large gap in the molecular orbital energies in the hexa-anion of the empty cage. ${ }^{14}$ Computational studies by Popov and Dunsch suggested that the structures $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{2 v}(39705)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ are the most probable isomers for the $\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{TN}$ endohedrals. ${ }^{15}$

A sample of $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ was prepared in an electric arc-discharge reactor by vaporizing graphite rods containing a mixture of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and graphite powder in a $\sim 400$ Torr $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere as outlined previously. ${ }^{16}$ The xylene extract from the raw soot was passed through a cyclopentadiene-functionalized Merrifield peptide resin to remove the empty-cage fullerenes. The higher order species were separated via HPLC. The third fraction contained $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82} .{ }^{16}$ The HPLC chromatogram, negative ion MALDI-TOF MS spectrum, and the UV-vis absorption spectrum of the $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ are reproduced in the Supporting Information.

Black parallelepipeds of $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82} \cdot \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{OEP}) \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ were obtained by diffusion of a benzene solution of the endohedral fullerene into a benzene solution of $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{OEP})$ and utilized in the crystal structure determination. ${ }^{17}$
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Figure 1. A view of the structure of $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)$ $\mathrm{C}_{82} \cdot \mathrm{Ni}^{\text {II }}(\mathrm{OEP}) \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ with $30 \%$ thermal contours. The pair of fused pentagons is highlighted in purple. Only the major site for the $\mathrm{Gd}_{3}$ group is shown. For clarity, the solvated molecules of benzene have been omitted.

Figure 1 shows a drawing of the egg-shaped $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)$ $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ molecule, which is nestled within the eight ethyl groups of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{OEP})$ molecule. The carbon cage in $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ does not obey the isolated pentagon rule. The ring spiral for this carbon cage is $1,2,11,13,17,19,26,31,33,35,38,43$. The one fused pentagon pair is highlighted in Figure 1 in purple. For a $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ there are the 9 isomers that conform to the IPR and 39709 isomeric structures that do not conform to the IPR if only pentagons and hexagons are found within the carbon framework. ${ }^{3}$ The structure found here is one of the two previously predicted to be stable for a $\mathrm{C}_{82}$ cage bearing a $6^{-}$charge. ${ }^{15}$

The fullerene cage in $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ closely resembles the cage found for the most prevalent isomer of $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ \mathrm{C}_{84}$, which is $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(51365)-\mathrm{C}_{84}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Tm}) .{ }^{18,19} \mathrm{~A}$ comparison of the shapes of these two fullerenes is presented in Figure 2. Both cages have an egg shape with mirror symmetry and a single location where two pentagons abut. In both cases the mirror plane of the fullerene is positioned perpendicular to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond that connects the two pentagonal rings.

There is some disorder in the structure. Gd1, Gd2, and Gd3 constitute the major site for this group with $0.84,0.67$, and 0.70 fractional occupancy, respectively. The $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are 2.152(5), 2.094(5), and 2.077(5) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{Gd} 1, \mathrm{Gd} 2$, and Gd 3 ,


Figure 2. A comparison of the structures of the fullerene cages in $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$ (bottom with the fused pentagon pair highlighted in purple) and $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(51365)-\mathrm{C}_{84}$ (top with the fused pentagon pair highlighted in red). The noncrystallographic mirror plane in each case lies parallel to the page.
respectively. The $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ unit is planar at the major site. The sum of the three $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Gd}$ angles is $359.6^{\circ}$. There are an additional 16 Gd sites with occupancies ranging from 0.09 to 0.02 . The carbon cage also displays disorder with two orientations for the cage.

Gd 1 is situated within the fold of the pentalene unit formed by the fused pentagon pair. Within that unit the $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances are shortest at the fold (Gd1-C78, 2.476(10), Gd1-C82, 2.484(10) $\AA$ ) and longer for the four adjacent carbon atoms, which range from $2.486(9)$ to $2.554(10) \AA$. The Gd1-C78 and Gd1-C82 distances are comparable to the $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances (2.470(15) and $2.479(16)$ ) in $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(51365)-\mathrm{C}_{84} \cdot{ }^{19}$ The positioning of Gd 1 near the fused pentagon pair is similar to that of the Gd, Tb, and Tm ions in $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(51365)-\mathrm{C}_{84}$ and the Sc ions within the three pentalene units of $\mathrm{Sc}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{3 h}(6140)-\mathrm{C}_{68} \cdot{ }^{11,18,19}$ For comparison, there is a significant body of data available for coordination of organometallic groups to the inner face of pentalene and substituted pentalenes. ${ }^{20}$

Within the family of TN fullerenes, crystallographic data show that $\mathrm{Sc}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{3 h}(5)-\mathrm{C}_{78},{ }^{10} \mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ I_{h}-\mathrm{C}_{80}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}),{ }^{9,13}$ $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{5 h}-\mathrm{C}_{80}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Tb}),{ }^{12,13} \mathrm{~Tb}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{86},{ }^{13} \mathrm{and}^{2} \mathrm{~Tb}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{2}(35)-$ $\mathrm{C}_{88}{ }^{13}$ obey the IPR, but $\mathrm{Sc}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ D_{3}(6140)-\mathrm{C}_{68},{ }^{11} \mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-$ $\mathrm{C}_{82}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(51365)-\mathrm{C}_{84}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Tm})^{18,19}$ do not. Additional exceptions to the IPR rule that have been reported include: $\quad \mathrm{Sc}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{2 v}(7854)-\mathrm{C}_{70},{ }^{21} \quad \mathrm{DySc}_{2} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(17490) \mathrm{C}_{76},{ }^{22}$ $\mathrm{Sc}_{2} @ \mathrm{C}_{66}{ }^{23} \mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} @ C_{2 v}(6073)-\mathrm{C}_{68},{ }^{24} \mathrm{La}_{2} @ D_{2}(10611)-\mathrm{C}_{72},{ }^{25}$ and La@ $\mathrm{C}_{72} \cdot{ }^{26}$ With this growing list of exceptions, the IPR indeed appears to be more a suggestion than a rule for endohedral fullerenes.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation (Grants CHE-0716843 to A.L.B. and M.M.O., CHE-0509989 to
L.E.) for support and J. Walls for assistance. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Advanced Light Source, Beamline 11.3.1, operated under the auspices of the DOE, Proposal ALS-02242, for data collection. This material was also based upon work supported by Air Force Office of ScientificResearch (AFOSR) under Contract No. FA9550-06-C-0010.

Supporting Information Available: Complete ref. 4a; preparative and separation information for $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82}$; X-ray crystallographic data for $\mathrm{Gd}_{3} \mathrm{~N} @ C_{s}(39663)-\mathrm{C}_{82} \cdot \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{OEP}) \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

## References

(1) Chai, Y.; Guo, T.; Jin, C.; Haufler, R. E.; Felipe Chibante, L. P.; Fure, J.; Wang, L.; Alford, J. M.; Smalley, R. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 7564.
(2) Shinohara, H. In Fullerenes; Chemistry, Physics and Technology; Kadish, K. M., Ruoff, R., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2000; p 357.
(3) Fowler, P. W.; Manolopoulos, D. E. An Atlas of Fullerenes; Clarendon Press: Oxford, England, 1995.
(4) (a) Akasaka, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9316. (b) Feng, L.; Tsuchiya, T.; Wakahara, T.; Nakahodo, T.; Piao, Q.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Kato, T.; Yoza, K.; Horn, E.; Mizorogi, N.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5990.
(5) Akasaka, T.; Wakahara, T.; Nagase, S.; Kobayashi, K.; Waelchli, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Kondo, M.; Shirakura, S.; Maeda, Y.; Kato, T.; Kako, M.; Nakadaira, Y.; Gao, X.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. J. Phvs. Chem. B 2001, 105, 2971.
(6) Feng, L.; Wakahara, T.; Tsuchiya, T.; Maeda, Y.; Lian, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Mizorogi, N.; Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S.; Kadish, K. M. Chem. Phvs. Lett. 2005, 405, 274.
(7) Olmstead, M. M.; de Bettencourt-Dias, A.; Stevenson, S.; Dorn, H. C.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4172.
(8) Olmstead, M. M.; Lee, H. M.; Stevenson, S.; Dorn, H. C.; Balch, A. L. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2688.
(9) Stevenson, S.; Rice, G.; Glass, T.; Harich, K.; Cromer, F.; Jordan, M. R.; Craft, J.; Hadju, E.; Bible, R.; Olmstead, M. M.; Maitra, K.; Fisher, A. J.; Balch, A. L.; Dorn, H. C. Nature 1999, 401, 55.
(10) Olmstead, M. M.; de Bettencourt-Dias, A.; Duchamp, J. C.; Stevenson, S.; Marciu, D.; Dorn, H. C.; Balch, A. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1223.
(11) Olmstead, M. M.; Lee, H. M.; Duchamp, J. C.; Stevenson, S.; Marciu, D.; Dorn, H. C.; Balch, A. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 900.
(12) Cai, T.; Xu, L.; Anderson, M. R.; Ge, Z.; Zuo, T.; Wang, X.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L.; Gibson, H. W.; Dorrn, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8581.
(13) Zuo, T.; Beavers, C. M.; Duchamp, J. C.; Campbell, A.; Dorn, H. C.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2035.
(14) Campanera, J. M.; Bo, C.; Poblet, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7230.
(15) Popov, A. A.; Dunsch, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11835.
(16) Chaur, M. N.; Melin, F.; Elliott, B.; Athans, A. J.; Walker, K.; Holloway, B. C.; Echegoyen, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14826.
(17) Crystal data for $\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{NGd}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Ni} \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, black parallelepiped, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}, a=14.6044(17) \AA, b=14.9475(17) \AA, c=$ 19.842(2) A $, \alpha=85.636(2)^{\circ}, \beta=88.649(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=61.500(1)^{\circ}, V=$ $3795.2(7) \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.941 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, T=150(2) \mathrm{K} ; \lambda=0.77490 \AA ;$ $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0629$, wR2 $=0.1555$ for all data; conventional R1 $=0.1007$ computed for 19622 observed data $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ with 123 restraints and 1401 parameters.
(18) Beavers, C. M.; Zuo, T.; Duchamp, J. C.; Harich, K.; Dorn, H. C.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11352.
(19) Zuo, T.; Walker, K.; Olmstead, M. M.; Melin, F.; Holloway, B. C.; Echegoyen, L.; Dorn, H. C.; Chaur, M. N.; Chancellor, C. J.; Beavers, C. M.; Balch, A. L.; Athans, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1067.
(20) Summerscales, O. T.; Cloke, J. G. N. Coord. Chem. Revs. 2006, 250, 1122.
(21) Yang, S. F.; Popov, A. A.; Dunsch, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1256.
(22) Yang, S.; Popov, A. A.; Dunsch, L. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 13659.
(23) Wang, C.-R.; Kai, T.; Tomiyama, T.; Yoshida, T.; Kobayashi, Y.; Nishibori, E.; Takata, M.; Sakata, M.; Shinohara, H. Nature 2000, 408, 426.
(24) Shi, Z. Q.; Wu, X.; Wang, C. R.; Lu, X.; Shinohara, H. Angew. Chem.. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2107.
(25) Kato, H.; Taninaka, A.; Sugai, T.; Shinohara, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7782.
(26) Wakahara, T.; Nikawa, H.; Kikuchi, T.; Nakahodo, T.; Rahman, G. M. A.; Tsuchiya, T.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Yoza, K.; Horn, E.; Yamamoto, K.; Mizorogi, N.; Slanina, Z.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14228.

JA8032263


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ University of California, Davis.

    * Clemson University.
    § Luna Innovations.

